

HR (JL) reur 7/5/77 to SS/Goff and prior correspondence relating to it 7/13/77

The Seeret Service has been insisting that it has no records on me suitable for PA release. I'm not clear on what followed the beginning, which the foregoing was, but I did find card records at Archives referring to their files and State and CIA came up with records referred to SS. I've received the State copy after feferral.

Whatever I have received has been preserved as received. In almost all if not all cases JL has copies. I do not recall receiving the kinds of records you seek. This is not to say I did not receive an odd letter here or there. But the full record I have not been given. It clearly is within my FOIA/PA requests.

The early part is easily obtained in summary from the excellent index you made to my Archives (etc) correspondence to the point where it became impossible for you.

If they give you any records not given to me it will be obvious that they have violated the Act and my rights under it. I am not at all sure that they now care about such things or would find themselves at all embarrassed by knowledge of it.

These records should begin with a letter from Kelley to me inviting me in for a conference, their notes on that conference (Goff and Jack Warner, flack agent also present), I think a carbon of a two -page listing of SS matters of interest to me, all JFK, and should go on through records of their decision, which may have been prior to the meeting or improvised at it but certainly continues afterward with both Archives (GSA) and Justice.

There may well have been phone conversations on which notes were made or memos written, including by secretaries or others on extension phones.

With any seriousness by those making claims there have to be records of communications with Burke Marshall, perhaps others in re Kennedys. You might also want to recall that this was later of interest to Roche, who claims responsibility for getting LBJ to have the Clark panel set up. What I am saying is that although your request is limited to Memo Tr and appears not to be any broader, there may be more involvements outside Treasury than we know of. By the way, David Acheson was then the assistant Sec. of Treasury for that area.

I am going to have to try to concentrate on writing. I have slowed down more. Perhaps when you get whatever you get you can make a copy and send it to me. Don't do any extensive copying for Jim unless you pay no more than a nickel a page, which I'd estimate to be our overall cost. If you can do it for this or less, it would relieve great pressures here not to have to do it. Perhaps I can get a list to make a comparison, especially if you get or make a list. I have the SS parts in the regular SS file and some in the PA separate file I've begun to establish. Older files that belong there have not been moved because I just can't get to it.

In all of this please bear in mind that you and I am are the executors of my estate and while the whole thing is not formalized in stereotyped legal form is set forth in detail with dated copies to other than the two of you and in my own files. So you have certain rights and powers should something happen to me and should there be a right to damages that would have been part of the estate absent those damages. I think this whole matter of the Memo TR is one such damage.

After a little exercise, now meaning real little, I plan to write JL about another aspect of this that came to me this morning.

Hestily,